## **EXHIBIT 2**

#### 1

### 2

#### 3

#### 4

5

## 7

8

## 9 3

10

## 11

12 13

## 14

1516

17

18

19

2021

## 22

2324

25

26

27

28

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 19-md-02913-WHO

#### [PROPOSED] SCHEDULING ORDER PURSUANT TO CMO NOS. 17 & 19

3:20-cv-2600

Having reviewed and considered the Parties' Proposed Scheduling Order and pursuant to Section VIII.B of this Court's Case Management Order Nos. 17 and 19, this Court's May 31, 2024

Minute Order, and the Parties' stipulation to extend the deadline to file long-form complaints, the

Court hereby enters the following Scheduling Order:

IN RE: JUUL LABS, INC., MARKETING,

SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS

Walker McKnight v. Juul Labs, Inc., et al,

LIABILITY LITIGATION,

This Document Relates to:

Event/Deadline	Date/Deadline
Deadline to File Individual, Long-Form Complaints	July 11, 2024
Deadline to Conduct Additional Discovery <sup>1</sup>	December 16, 2024
Deadline to Submit Summary Judgment/Daubert Motions and Motions to Dismiss	January 31, 2025
Deadline to Submit Response to Summary Judgment/Daubert Motions and Motions to Dismiss	March 3, 2025
Deadline to Submit Reply to Summary Judgment/Daubert Motions and Motions to Dismiss	April 1, 2025

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to CMO No. 17 § VIII.B, during "Additional Discovery," the Parties may "(a) take the depositions of the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff's spouse, if applicable, and any other non-party lay fact witness specific to the Plaintiff for up to seven (7) hours each, with Counsel for the Settling Defendants questioning first at each deposition; and (b) take the depositions of no more than three (3) of a Personal Injury Plaintiff's treating healthcare providers, with Counsel for the Settling Defendants questioning first at each deposition." For complex medical cases, "Settling Defendants may be permitted to take additional depositions upon a showing of good cause...[but]...[n]o other depositions may be taken during the expedited discovery period absent prior leave granted by the Court upon a showing of good cause."

Should Plaintiff serve written discovery upon the Settling Defendants, "the Parties shall meet and confer about an appropriate deadline for responding to such discovery, which deadline shall be at least sixty (60) days after service of such discovery."

# Case 3:19-md-02913-WHO Document 4272-2 Filed 07/08/24 Page 3 of 3

1	Upon the conclusion of this case schedule and pursuant to Section VIII.B of this Court's Case
2	Management Order Nos. 17 and 19, this Court will set a Case Management Conference to
3	determine any non-duplicative discovery including additional expert disclosures, as necessary,
4	and to discuss other case management issues.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated:, 2024
7	
8	
9	The Honorable William H. Orrick United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	- 2 -

28